G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

191

(Local Board)

Later references.

File No. 16 of 01-02

Serial Nos. 1–13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 13

Major **Part B for** 01-02

SUBJECT.

Complaint against the work Inspector of Chikkanaikanahalli regarding the sinking of a well in Gowdanahalli.

Sl 1-No 2208.7.01, from the DC. Tumkur dist. Srb. its Kannada records in Connection with the sinking of a well at Gowdanahally in Chikkanaikanahalli taluk.

Sl 2-No. 256F/L.F 27-01 dt 10.8.01, to the presdt of the Municipalities, Tumkur dist. Requests to forward the sanctioned estimate for the work in original, together with Office of measurement bills, the amount

GPB—8,500—21-7-12

and into the Bank offices payments.

203-8/23-8-01. From the President of the Local fund Board, Sirsi to the Revenue Records containing the sanctioned estimate together with a copy of the measurement bill relating to the work in question.

65-70 & 18/10/01, To the Dy Commr Dharwar Dist Report
L F 27-01 Requests to enquire and report what certain officials of the Taluk office of Chickmanhalli have had to say in the matter

651. 8/15/11/01, From the D.C. Dharwar [illegible]
wants the statement of the officials specified and states that they deny having heard the work Inspector Ramanna represent to the Amildar the fact of the Ramanahalli well work being complete

8 13166-8 & 10/2/02 to the Dy Commrs of Dharwar, Shimoga and the Collector. That is that the charge investigation is necessary to find out the nature and the extent of the culpable neglect of the Amildar and directs the D.C to conduct and conduct the proceedings with his opinion regarding the conduct of the Amildar and the work Inspector, with the cross examination of the witnesses so as to explain away doubtful points and the charges against them or less. It further states that the Amildar and the work Inspector should be relieved of their duties and the fact that before any arrangements are made for the purpose must be reported to the Govt

765/645 8/8/1/02 From the Dy Commr Shimoga Dist. Reports that Shama Rau the Judicial head himself has been ordered to take charge of the Honnali Taluk

Note

Letter No 22/233 dated the 3rd July 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, bringing to the notice of Government certain irregularities as regards the payment made for the well work at Gowdanhalli, Chik nayakanahalli Taluk.

The President's letter explains the matter clearly.

From enquiries made in the Comptroller's Office it is ascertained that on receipt of the measurement bill for the work in question Cheque No 26 dated the 30th June 1900 for Rs 197 was issued by the President, Taluk Board

[signature]

× Rs 112 for the well work at Gowdanhalli
Rs 85 for deepening the well near the Police Station at Byappanahatti

Total Rs 197

Board, Tumkur Circle,
that the Cheque was
cashed by the Amildar
on the 30th June 1900
that Rs 112/- was paid
to Contractor Narasappa in
the presence of two witnesses
and that a Stamped
receipt was obtained
from him for the said
amount.

2. In his statement (S12 in the Kannada record)
before the Chikkanagkana
Lalli Amildar on the
4th February 1901, Nara
Nagha deposes to the
following effect.

"I did not take up the
contract for the well work
at Gowdanhalli. I did no
work nor have I received
any money on this
account. Though the
signature in the (counter-
foil of the) receipt for (S 11)
the said amount resembles
mine, it might have been

Send the original Receipt Book.

affixed by me by mistake while signing the other receipts in the receipt book which adjoin the receipt in question. No cheque, as usual, was granted to me nor did I receive the amount from the Treasury."

3. The signatures of Naranappa in the Courts, forms in the records now received and in the stamped receipt for the amount filed in the Comptroller's Office resemble each other. Naranappa does not deny his signatures and it is a matter of doubtful how far his statement that he received no payment can be believed. If necessary the receipt filed in the Comptroller's Office may be sent

4. Narasappa or the Ranjundayya and Rangs who are said to be the real contractors, do not appear to have executed any instrument binding themselves to execute the work. This is admitted by the Work Inspector.

Sl. 3 and 4

5. Work Inspector Rangayya states, that Narasappa is the Contractor and not Ranjundayya or Ranga. But he wrote to Ranjundayya a letter without date asking him to complete the work in question within 8 days. In this letter he says that the Contractor for the work has given to Ranjundayya four months off (i.e., 2 months after payment).

Sl 3

Sl 10 - (Sl (11)) before the Assessor he said that he wrote the letter about the 15th or 17th January 1901 (or 6½ months after payment.)

× & his deposition

Subd.
Hon'ble G. Narasimhayya
6.3
16.7.01

the Work Inspector explains that he wrote to Ranjundayya because Naranappa was getting the well work done by one Babu who was Ranjundayya's man6. The present Amildar of the Chik. naya Kanahalli Taluk and the President, are of opinion that Ranjundayya is the Contractor and not Naranappa, Ranayya working jointly with Ranjundayya. But in the extract of the measurement book, the name of the Contractor is given as Narayanappa.

Sl 18.

End No 7 to Sl No 3.

7. The Janapada Officer (Mr A. Ananda Rao Assistant Commissioner) who inspected the well (on 10th January 1901) observed as follows "Work not half completed yet full amount has been paid. Excepting the full extent of earth

Sl 20 a

earth work the full extent of which has been done - about only 8,214 cubic yds of stone work has been done."

8. In this Office docket No 2568* dated 10th August 1901, the President was asked to submit the original estimate together with copies of measurement bills. The President submits these with his letter No 203* dated 23rd August 1901. The measurement for the work appears to have been taken only once only on the 28th June 1901 by Work Inspector Rangauna. The Completion bill is signed by J.D. Chauna Upadhyay.

Submitted for orders

7/9/02.

* S(2 in the file

* S(3 in the file

In this Office Docket
No 6570 dated 18th
L.F.27.01
October 1901 the Deputy
Commissioner Insein
District, was asked to
take down the statement
of certain Officials referre
to in Work-Inspector
Rangoon's deposition
dated 4th February 1901
as having been present
when the Work Inspector,
at the time of payment
for the work in
question, represented to
the Amildar that there
was some work remain
ing to be done, and that
the Amildar asked him
to prepare the Completion
bill notwithstanding,
and also of those persons
who have attested the
receipt as to whether
they saw Naranappa
receiving the money

With his letter No 481
of the 5th November 1901
the Deputy Commissioner
forwarded the Statements
of the following persons
taken by the Amildar
of the Hukkeri Taluka
recorded on the 1st Nov 1901

(1) Ramanna, Revenue Head Amuldar
(2) Gundappa, Revenue Gumasta.
(3) Sibba Ka-, Jud Gumasta
(4) Kenchappa, Do, Gumasta
(5) Linagowda, Patel
(6) Timmappagya, Patel.

I beg to depose that
the, one ast. executive of
the-fact of Work Inspector
Ramgauna having represented
to Amildar Chennappanna
Pillai, the-fact of the
Gondanahalli well work
having been incomplete
and the latter having
ordered the work Inspector
to prepare the Completion
Bill as in the standing
Sued & admit having

having attested the
receipt in question in
the presence of Arul dar
Chinnappan Pillay but
deny all knowledge of pay-
ment of the money spe-
cified therein to Contractor
Naranappa in their
presence.

Contractor Naranappa
was present when the
depositions of Krishnappa
and Sinnappayya were
taken. The Summons
issued to Ranganna
was returned unserved
~~xxxx~~ owing to his absence
from Chikmagalur hali
and his whereabouts being
unknown

The Receipt Book
received with the Deputy
Commissioners letter
quoted above is also
submitted

Submitted for orders.

16/11/01. Secretary

16/11/01 As the Deputy

now large the Contractors
Who actually did the work
demand payment, it is
open to him (the Dy Comr) to call upon
the Circular & the Work
Inspector to account for
the same & take needful
Steps in the matter.

The records do
submitted by the Dy Comrs
Shew great laxity on the
part of the Circular & the
Work Inspector - the Receipts
have not been numbered
and no Muteheika has
been taken for the work
said to have been given out
on Contract. In the absence
of the latter, the Contractor
cannot be expected to do
the work according to the
Estimate and the result
is that public money is spent without any
Check or Control
by the Local Officials

Who are primarily responsible for the same. These omissions may be pointed out to the Dr/Commr & he may be asked to examine the records of this & the other Taluks and report the result for the orders of Govt.

DB
16/12/1907

The question for immediate decision is more important than the general order suggested above. If payment was made to a wrong party, the auditor is to responsible. Should he not be made to pay it out of his pocket? He may of course sue the party who received Pay[illegible] & recover it of he can. The Govt

Submitted :- Please see my note herewith :-
DB
20/12/1907.

U. A. [illegible]

× [illegible] (The completion
bill was sent
up a fortnight
after the work
was commenced)

[illegible]
[illegible] which the
[illegible]
[illegible] in June/90 on
the [illegible] ×
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

of State. The D/S
maybe authorized
to secure the Accused
presence & holding
inquiry & give the Accused
a full opportunity of
explaining himself.
I would far rather see
the man at once
then suspend him
preparatory to an inquiry
of this nature. The punish-
ment is disproportionate
to the alleged offence.
I tender the opinion
with great reluctance
I should not have suggested
the alternative but for
a feeling that public
interests will suffer
rather than be served
by the suspension of
the Accused at the

Sept 5 / 1902

Submitted to the

The suspension is
not meant as a
punishment which
is to follow: - there is
a prima facie case of
neglect or irregularity and
an investigation has to be ordered in which
the presence of the Accused
is required - How is the
Accused to leave his Ship?
Is he to be granted leave
or is he to be considered as
on public duty & when
to absent from the Ship
and when attending the
investigation? If he establishes
his innocence, or
the absence of neglect on his
part, from which has
arisen essential he is entitled
entitled to be restored in
service & I do not know
why he should be retired
on what ground - If on the
other hand he is found to
have been guilty of fraud
or neglect what powers must
then be decided - In any
case when there is a prima
case of this call for
investigation and putting
him on his defence I do
not see why he should be
given leave or considered
as being on duty - A court
of Inquiry
Preliminary inquiry has
been instituted & a
case of prima facie neglect
has been made out. This

Dewan-

3/2/1902

Submitted again to the 1st Councillor — accg to the Dewan's direction.

7/2/1902

Rd 7/2/02
15.42 The Dewan's original order may serve. Mine was only a suggestion & I have nothing further to say to the Dewan order

7/2/1902

Issued from L. J.'s Dept to note in the Rev. Dept.

8/2 after issue

Issued - to Revs. Dept. and Manager - note in Rev & Accts & return the papers to CRB

11/2/02

is my reasoning and the case is somewhat analogous to the case of the Amildar of Seringapatam. I am quite willing to have this matter reconsidered by the 1st Councillor — and he thinks it may go to other Councillors also if he so desires it. I am quite willing to abide by their views in this important matter. I suggest immediate

6.2.02

(816)

Dr Govt Prop No 13166-8 / L.F. 27-07
dated the 10th Feby 1902
Arulvar Chinnappavoo
Pillay and Work Inspector
Ranganna were placed
under Suspension, and
the Deputy Commissioner
of the Bellary District
was asked to conduct a
thorough investigation into
the matter at once and
submit the proceedings
with his opinion concerning
the conduct of the Arulvar
and the Work Inspector
Accordingly the Dy Comr
submits the records with
his letter No 916 dated the
29th April 1902.

Mr B. G. Krishnamyngar
has recorded his opinion as
follows.

(Sl 41 of the Dy Comrs file of papers.)

" The evidence recorded goes
to shew that monies were
distributed for works done on
30th June 1900 in the pre
sence of the Arulvar, Chinna
ppavu Pillai and Contractor
Naranappa was the ~~receipient~~

recepient of many items.
The Contractor admits that
he executed several receipts
for payments made, at the
instance of the Work Inspector
Rangama and the receipt
in question was one of
them. The receipt it is
stated, was not signed by him
consciously since he had
not taken up the contract
of the well nor had he
executed the work. The
Contractor admits having
received all other monies
but this. I am not at all
prepared to believe him."

"There is no question that
Narasappa was not the
Contractor - but he received
the money having some under-
standing with the Work Inspector
on whose statement the Amil-
dar appears to have signed
the completion certificate.
There is no doubt Chinnappa-
iya Pillai was wrong in doing
so but I cannot bring myself
to believe that he signed the
bill knowing that the work
had not been completed as stated
by the Work Inspector. I do not
think he took any part in

in the misappropriation of the money to which the Work Inspector Rangamma and Contractor Narayappa are parties."

" Rangamma being in the Central Jail at Bangalore under Sentence of imprisonment in a case of ~~Breach~~ breach of trust (Sessions Case No 269. 1901/2 on the file of the Chief Court) his presence could not be secured He was however given notice to take steps to represent himself but he pleaded his inability to do so"

(81 40 on the Dy Comrs file of papers)

Arulsan Chinnappavoo Pillay says, in his written statement presented to the Dy Comr, states that he being quite new to the Taluk (Chikmagalur Kalli) and thus not having had sufficient experience of the Conduct of the Work Inspector and the Contractor (Narayappa), he committed error in having made the payment relying on these, and prays that Govt

taking into consideration all the circumstances of the case and his long and unblemished service of 31 years, may be pleased to take a charitable view in the case and mete out justice in the light of Govt Proc No 674-76 R769 Dt 18th July 1896 passed in the case of Mr Rajagopalachariar when he was Amldar of Srirangham.

Submitted for orders

Dn 9.5.02 The records connected with the case in which Inspector Rangamma is said to have been sentenced by the Chief Court may be called for to enable Govt to see the nature of the case in which he was convicted.

17/5/1902 The records may be called for. Do so & please submit this to the 3rd

Draft subs to CS&TE Secy Ms.4 14.5.02

Work?

Subd to the Dewan
17/5/02

RD
19.5.02
4527
5150
Subd to Secretary
4581
RD 22.5.02

The previous reps: cudny
with Govt proc. 13166/8 & to
February 1902 have dft not 27-1901
put up. The references may be
kindly ordered to be procured
20/5/02
Mrs. The file kept
Encl Ill 21/5/02 Laid in the office
it was built

Received today to get the file
in the case No. 674-96-A 2698/15 dated 18th
July 1896 and I am sorry the papers
are not found - They were the
Deputy-Registrar's papers which have
have been there, last - and I have
repeatedly asked the Secy to deal
with the case officially, officially
(this word)

Note: The case quoted by the D/A
- Court of Queen's Bench is not in point.
(17) There the Tenders (Respondents) were
transferees or lessees - the agreed the copy
lease for certificate but asked the Railway
Shenkeldar Co to remedy certain matters
and it was upon the refusal of the
Railway Co to remedy the matters
complained of that the Shenkeldar -
administrator - brought the Action
in a court of Equity - Here in the Appellant
-Petitioner's case - the Respondent is alleged
to be a trespasser - a criminal -
and I am told that a criminal
yet his offence - chiefly of cancelling
had been frankly pleaded by his
express request to the Shenkeldar not
to send it for registration.
There is no such redeeming feature
in this case - of Chemical Grain Seller
by Respondent, or indeed any
Appeal. I do not know the Privy Council
in the Dy Court has also fallen

against him of
all fraudulent
intention and this
was has been accepted
by the III d Counsellor
but that he neglected
woefully the interests
of Govt which had been
entrusted to his charge
by falsely signing the
completion certificate
of a work which had
not been completed &
it and having failed
also has been very
clearly established -
He had no intention
of saving the work at
all - & this fraud
would have been detected
if the Jammabandi
Officer (W Ananda Rau)
had not found it out.
A greater and more
culpable neglect
in the official discharge
of one's duty it is difficult
- cult to imagine - Chenna
Rajamanikkam is
a stranger to Chittoor
Taluq - He is an old Offi
- cial of the Madras Civil

He deserves what he merited for his negligence. He has been under suspension for [redacted] for a [redacted] over four months. The loss of his pay during that period is a heavy punishment. He has also to make good to Govt the money paid without any work being done. This amount will be the value of the work done if it can now be turned to any account and can be completed. This must be ascertained from actual inspection by the Dy Conr or one of his assistants. As Chunilal Bellie has been thus punished and he has a long service of 32 years during which time he has apparently merited the approbation of his superior officers I think he may be ordered with a severe warning that he should be very careful in future.

16.VII.02

Issue warrant
delay please.

18/7

what was paid away on his con-
firmation certificate
sanads

Drafted
18.7.02

L 33.

sect - Local Boards.

file no - 16 of 01-02

serial no 1

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
JUL.9 - 1901
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

1901

From The Deputy Commr
Tumkur district

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue
Departments

Dated 3d Bangalore

Despatched 8th July

Received 10/7/01.

General No. 22. } 1901.

No.

Enclosures Number. Five Vernacular

Submitting herewith
Canarese records
in connection with the
Excavation of a drinking
water well at Gow
-danahalli of Chick-
-naikanhalli Taluk.

22 1.

Rec'd 10/7

Accepted as per
H.D. Clerk's in-
structions put up
H Holt
10/7/01

Note put up
H Holt
17-7-01

16-7-01
Sd 10/7

No. 22
En. 1 File of
Ver records

Office of the President of the
District Fund Board.
Tumkur District.
Tumkur 3d July 1901.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments. Bangalore

Sir,

In Submitting herewith Canarese records in connection with the excavation of a drinking water well at Gowdanakatte of the Chickanayakanahalli Taluk, I have the honor to state that the well was Sanctioned as an incomplete work for the year 1899-1900. Completion having been Submitted by the then acting Amildar Chinnappavoo Pille on the 29th July 1900 June ? a cheque for R. 112 was Sent to him for payment to the Contractor.

2. In January last, one Ranga of Karadi in the Tiptur Taluk petitioned the Jamabandi officer, Mr Ananda Rao, that although he had done part of the well work with some other Sanitary works, he has not received any payment and consequently he was unable to complete the Same. On enquiry it was ascertained that the cheque for Rs 112 has

been cashed by the acting Amildar Chinnappaw
Pille at the Taluk Treasury on the 30th June
1900 and a receipt in the name of one Con-
-tractor Naranappa obtained for the amount.
Naranappa however denied that he had
taken up the contract of the well and
obtained any money for it, though the signature
to the receipt looked like his. Work Inspector
Ranganna of Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk
avowed that the money had been paid to
Naranappa by him as directed by
Chinnappavoo Pille, that he had brought
the fact of the well not being complete to
the Amildar's notice and that notwithstand
-ing this, as the Amildar ordered him to
make the payment to Naranappa pending
his completing the work he did so.

3. Naranappa alias Nunjundaya of
Karade in the Tiptur Taluk produced two letters
written to him by the Work Inspector Ranganna
to undertake and expedite the well work. He
also stated that he did the work in partner
-ship with one Ranga, but not Naranappa.
These letters marked A and B (in red ink) (81) (810 Vernacular Recds)
clearly shew that Nunjundaya and not
Naranappa was the Contractor of the
well in question. The explanation tendered
by the Work Inspector who admits the writing

of

of the letters to Venjeendaiya on this point is far from satisfactory. If Varadappa was the Contractor there was no necessity for him to write to Venjeendaiya urging him to complete the work. Moreover if Varadappa, a resident of Chickanayakanahalli and a known contractor, was the responsible party it was more easy for him to have demanded him to complete the work than to have written to Venjeendaiya, a resident of a village in the Tiptur Taluk.

4. Thinking that Chinnappavoo Pille, now Amildar of Honnali, would be able to throw more light on this matter, I asked for his explanation through the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga but I am sorry to say that the result has quite disappointed me. Chinnappavoo Pille says that he signed the bill believing that the work had been completed placing trust on a ——— the statement of the work Inspector as the year was coming to a close and as he had to attend simply to the work of the Taluk and of his own Sub Taluk of Huliyar.

5 I have therefore to request you to obtain the orders of Government

in this matter as the real contractors of the well demand payment for work done.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant
[signature]
President

C.S.

(2)

190 /

Section - Local Boards

J. No 6 of 01 - 02

L

Sl no

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE.
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To The President of the Munic. Capalities in the Kunkur Distt.

Dated 10/8/01. } July 1901.
Despatched }

Received

General No. 25-68
I.I No. 27-1901

Enclosure No.

R.L. 214.

From the Same
203 Dy 23/8/01 L325

His letter No 22 dat. 3rd July 1901 submitting for orders the Kanarese record~~s~~ relating to the sinking of a drinking water well at Gowdanhalli, Chi~~k~~ nayakanhalli Talk~~k~~

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the Di~~s~~ sident would be so good as to forward the sanctioned estim~~s~~ for the work in Original together with Copies of

L 32 (3) 5½

2755
27-8-01

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901 [illegible] OF MYSORE

From The President of the Local Fund Board Number District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 23rd Bangalore

Despatched 24th August

Received

General No. 203 } 1901.
No.

Enclosures Number. 1. V. file

His No. 2568 (2) dated L.f. 27-1901 10th August 1901.

Subg herewith the Kannada records containing the Sanctioned Estimate together with copy of measurement bill relating to the work referred to in the above.

K.V.K.J.
Ad
30.8.01

L 7
27.8.01

Note put up for orders. DBK
7.9.01

Read 9/9

Local Boards.
7 no 4 B of 01
Sl 3

Tumkur District
Local Fund Board Presidents Office
Tumkur 23rd August 1901.

No 2
Encl

From B. J. Krishnaraja Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To K. V. Ranganayya Esq: M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 2568 dated 10th instant, I have the honor L.F.27-1901
to submit herewith, the Kanarese records containing the sanctioned estimate together with copy of measurement &c relating to the work referred to in the above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President.

(4)

1901.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Local boards
L 06 01 02

L. No
52

Dated 18/9/1901. ~~September~~ 1901
Despd

No 6570 / L.J. 27-01 Encls one
Counterfoil
of the receipt

R.L 620

Correspondence ending
with his letter No 203
dated the 23rd August 1901
re. the excavation of a
drinking water well
at Gowdanhalli, Chik-
nayakanhalli Taluk.

From D.C Tumkur
no 451 (L 749) of 5/11/01

With reference to the
above, has the honour to
observe that in his
deposition dated 4th
February 1901. Work
Inspector Rangaiya
says that when payment
for the work in question

(1813 in the Kannada records)

loans being made by the Amildar, he (the Work Inspector) reports that there has some work remaining to be done, and that in the presence of the Inamdar, the noted Official of the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk Office, etc — the Amildar (J.L. Channappa. Inamdar Villa) directed him to prepare the Completion Bill. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to be so good as — to enquire & Mr. West the persons named Chattar — the Inspector and Chikka Thimaiah Jacy in the matter.

2. The Deputy Commissioner does not appear to have made enquiries of the men who have attested

1 Subba Rau, Judicial Commissioner
2. Gundappa
3. Krishnappa, Deputy Commissioner
4. Ramanna, Headmaster

the receipt said to have
been signed by Naraunappa
as to whether they saw
Naraunappa receiving the
money. This is im-
portant and should
be ascertained. The
Counterfoil of the
receipt received with
the records is herewith
returned for reference
and remembrance.

3. The book of Counter-
foils of receipts obtained
for payments made
to parties for Distress
Fund Works during the
month of June 1900
may be forwarded for
reference

4. A very early reply
is requested

By order

16/10
Secretary

28/9 Mem Clk 28-9-01

with list of payments for June 1900. and the same may be called for if necessary.

The statements received with Chick-
narkankaly Amildars no 254 of the 1st instant
together with the book of counterfoils are, herewith
forwarded for reference

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servant

Deputy Commissioner

(6)

From D6 Shimoga
765 of 18.2.02 2375
645

The order will issue from the Rev Dept[t]

1/2

Local Board

16 of 01–02

6

Draft accg to the order of the Dewan and 3rd Councillor-

Submitted for approval to the 3rd Councillor

1/2/1902

1/2/1902

R[d]. 1/2/02
1505

May I venture to suggest for the consideration of the Dewan that the order of suspension may be held in abeyance until the facts are properly investigated by the D C. Suspension is a very serious punishment in the case of an officer of the Chiefs

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No 1.V.51 dated the 5th November 1901 from the Dy Comr, Tumkur District, in regard to the amount due to the Contractor who repaired a drinking water well at Gowdanahalli in the Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk and to whom payment is alleged to have been made by the Taluk authorities.

L.t. 1261.

file: 3 files P.W. Papers & one copy of Govt. Sanad

9 10/2/1902

Order thereon No 13166–87
dt. 27–1902

In February 1900, J. D Chennappaoo Pillay Amildar of Chikkanayakanahalli has submitted an estimate for the repair of a well in Gowdanahalli

This was Sanctioned by the Dy Comr from the Distrct Funds by an order dated 30th May 1900, and despatched from the District Office on the 4th June 1900. On the 15th June 1900, Channappavoo Pellay submitted for payment a bill for Rs 112 Stating that the work had been completed according to the estimate, and thereupon a cheque was issued for the said amount, No 26 dated 30th June 1900. Both the Amildar and the Work Inspector say that payment was made to and acknowledgment duly obtained from, — Narainappa, the Contractor who had executed the

the work. But the latter denies the execution of the work, and receipt of the money, though he admits the signature, which he says might have been affixed by him by mistake, when signing receipts for amounts received by him for certain other works. ~~executed by him~~

3. Subsequently, in January and February 1901, two other persons, viz Ranga and Nanjundayya put forth their claims for payment for a portion of the work done by them ~~and~~ for the well in question. The Treasury Assistant Commissioner of the Trunkur District (Mr A. Ananda Rao) inspected

inspected the well on January 1901 and found it incomplete. He observed that the whole of the Earth-work Costing Rs 30/- and only Rs 24/- worth of Stone-work had been done. The present Amildar held enquiries into the Complaint of Ranga and Submitted a report to the Dy Collr. The latter now who Solicits instructions as to how payment should be made to the persons who actually did the work.

4 The explanation of Amildar Chennappavoo Pillai is that the work had not been given on contract by him, and that he ordered payment on the completion bill

Submitted

Submitted by the Work Inspector, and relying on the latter's responsibility. He adds that the money was duly paid to Naranappa in the presence of witnesses and that he cannot account for the latter denying the receipt of the money; and that as he had then to look after the work of the Sub Taluk of Hulugur also, he could not personally attend to the details and relied on the Work Inspector. The latter and the Contractor who misrepresented that the work had been fully carried out are to blame. He

attributes the origin of this complaint to persons not well disposed towards him, who set up this case after his leaving the Taluk.

5. The records of this case disclose a very grave irregularity on the part of Amloar Ethemappavoo Pillai and Work Inspector Rangama. The estimate for the work was actually sanctioned on the report of the Amildar. He, however, does not appear to have taken any muchalika from the person or persons who took up the work on contract for its satisfactory execution within a specified time

L 7
2-2-02

Local Board
B 0601-02

Transferred to
Rev Branch.

[illegible]
Manager

~~For~~ Secretary
Dept

Rev.
25-2-02

[illegible]
Asst
25/2/02

Recd
28/

S.A.
C. 18/2.

Submitted with the secs a draft
N
1/3/02

L.7 27/01 returned to L.7 Br on 6/3/02

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF ANCIENT DEPARTMENTS
10877 R 3346 1901

L B 75 (7) 02

From A. C. Parthasarathi Chetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To
H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Gl & Rev depts

Dated 18th February 02.
Despatched
Received

General No. 765
No. 645.

Enclosure No.

With reference to Govt Rev no 13166-8 dated L.7 27.1901 18th February 1902 reports that S. Shamu Rao, Sheristadar Head Munshi has been ordered to take charge of the Honnali Taluk and requests that he may be appointed to act as Amildar & 3d Class Magistrate of the Taluk during Chennappayya's suspension or until further orders

12/02.

10765
-845

Shemoga, 18th February 1902.

From. K. B. Ballamma Chetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To, H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre
M. A. M. L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to Government
Proceedings No 1366-8- dated
10th February 1902 ordering the
suspension of J. D. Chennappa
Gowda, Amildar of the Honnali
Taluk, pending the result of
investigation regarding the
allegations made against him
and his immediate relief from
his duty, I have the honour
to report that S. Shama Rao,
Judicial Head Munshi of this
office, who has on several
occasions acted as Amildar,

has

has been ordered to take charge
of the Honnali Taluk and to
request that he may be appointed
to act as Amildar and 3d ~
Class Magistrate of the Taluk
during Channappavar Pillai ~
suspension or until further
orders. The Jamabandi of the
Taluk is now proceeding and it
is necessary to have a responsible
officer in the Taluk.

2. Channappavar Pillai was re-
lieved by the Taluk Sheristadar
on the forenoon of the 15th instant

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H. M. Hammersley
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

Local Boards
Baroda
1901-02

8

R3342/12

8

1901-02

FROM H. V. Puttanna Chetty Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Nanjangud

To H. V. Nanjundappa Esq.
Ex. A. Ex. I.
Secretary to the Govt. of
Mysore
General and Rev. Depts.

Dated 3d.
Despatched
Received } March 1902

General No. 804
No. 685

Enclosure No. -

Requests early orders on letter No. R3346/685 dated 18th February 1902 requesting that S. Shama Rao may be gazetted to act as Sheristadar and 3d class Magistrate of Nanjangud.

The letter referred to was returned with orders to the Secretary on 5.3.02

8/3/1902

Sub to 1st Councillor on 3/3/02

Submitted to 1st Councillor 6/3/02

Draft Submitted for approval to the 1st Councillor —

2/3/1902

R: 5/3/02
Camp Hunsur
1726

5/3/1902
Hudson

No R 3975-9 11/3/02

No R 3976 9 11/3/02

No R 3977 9 11/3/02

Notification

S. Shama Rao, Jun
cal Head Munshi o
Commrs Office Shimog
is appointed to act as
Amildar and 2nd class
Magistrate of the Hosan
Hosnali Taluk, during
the absence of J. D
Thirumappavoo Pillay
under suspension or
until further orders.

By order

Secy.

To

The Compiler, Nuggehalli

Memo

Copy to the Compiler, Nuggehalli
— do — Dy. Commr.
Shimoga Dt. with reference
to his no. 765-645 dated
18th February 1902.

S.O

1/3/02

Secy

Local Boards
1901-02
9

OFFICE OF THE
13922.
2 - MAY. 2
RECEIVED

L 1843
1901/2 (9)

FROM The President
District Fund Board
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev: Departments
Bangalore

Dated 29th April 1902
Despatched
Received
General No. 916
No.
Enclosure No. 4 files & 1 book
(sent separately)

Govt Order no 13166-8 dated
27-1901
10th Feby 1902

Subg the entire proceedings in regard to the conduct of Ami Chinnappayya Pillay with refce to the repairs of the drinking water well at Sowdanahalli, Chickanaikanahally Taluk

In the Name of H.C. No 1140-2 & 19/7/02

L 7
Bl 1375 2. 5. 0

H. V. K

Memo Continued
HCK

9.5.02

No. 916
Letter Book.

Office of the Assistant of the
Local Fund Board
Sundur District.

Walk, dated, 31st April 1902

From

B. C. Krishna Iyengar Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Sundur District

To

H. Krishnaswamy Iyer Esq. M.A. & B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to Government order No. 13166-8 dated 10th February 1902, Fn 27-1901 I have the honor to submit herewith in original the entire proceedings in regard to the conduct of Arulder Chinnappaiah Pillay with reference to the payment of Rupees 112/- to have been made to the Contractor alleged to have repaired the drinking water well at Gopalanahalli in the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk together with the opinion of the undersigned thereon.

2 The entire file of Vernacular records with a receipt book of the Local Fund Overseer, received with

the Government order mentioned above are herewith resubmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner and President of the Local Fund Board

Lif

(General Serial No. 30.)

Local Boards
dis of 01-02

15286. ~~189~~/1901-2

L 2077

From The Registrar of the Chief Court, Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 27th May 1902

Despatched 2nd June 1902

Received

Enclosures No. 2 records and 4 books

General No. 8071

No. 236

Subject Forwards the entire record in Sunkur Lepsons case No. 26 of 1901-2 on the file of this Court together with the committing Magistrate's proceedings—

Sd/ Illegible
27/5/02

Sd/ Illegible
2/6/02

320 90

Sd/ 2
3.6.02

Sd/ H. V. K
Put up papers
Sd/ I.
is not on file
3-6/11

Submitted. The papers are now pending before the Dewan

Sd/ 6/6/02

Sd/ 6/6/02

Sd/ 6/6/02

To the Asst Secy with the Dewan. (Either the Just Sec may be submitted to the Dewan or the file returned—

Sd/ 11/6/1902

4797
Sd/ 11.6.02

(11)

No. 8071/236 Enc: 2 records and 4 Books.

Regd. No. L 2077

Chief Court of Mysore.

Bangalore, 27th May 1902.

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq., M.A., M.L.
Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your No: 18299 1/2 / L. F. 27-01 ~~30-96~~
(Sl 134) dated 17th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire record in Tumkur Sessions Case No: 26 of 1901-2 on the file of this Court, together with the Committing Magistrate's proceedings, and to request that the same may be returned when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

for Registrar

Note

(12)

Local Boards
No 601–02
12

Rec.
25/7/02
(809)

Read

Correspondence ending with letter No 916 (817) dt the 29th April 1902 from the Dy Comr and Presdt of the Distt Fund Board, Tumkur District Bdg on submitting the ~~extract~~ proceedings of the enquiry instituted by him, as required in Govt Proc No 13166-8 dt 27.01 & the 10th Feby 1902 in regard to the irregular Conduct of Amildar Channappa on Pillay and Work Inspector Rangappa in signing the completion bill ~~with reference~~ to of the work of the repairing of the drinking water well at Gowdanahalli in the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk ~~the Sprung of~~

Issue today please
29/7

26/7

29/7

~~of the Completion bill~~ without inspecting the work
~~bill~~ and the irregularity in payment in making
~~of the amount~~ sanctioned there
for the ~~work~~.

Ex. 13

Order thereon No 1140-2 dy 19/7/02
L.J 27-01

Ex: 46 Records
and a ~~illegal~~ Requisi work.

It is observed that
the Deputy Commissioner
(Mr B. G. Krishnamurthy)
after full enquiry into
the matter acquitted
Anildar Channappa
Pillay of all fraudulent
intention in making
payment for the well
work at Gowdanahalli;
but that he neglected
wrongfully the interests
of Government, which
had been entrusted.

Can be completed.
This must be ascertained
from actual inspection
of the ~~work~~ work by the Dy Comr
or by one of his Assistants

In Consideration of
his long service of 32
years

3. ~~He thus appears~~
~~Pollen has been thus~~
~~punished and to be~~
~~has a long service of~~
~~32 years~~ during which
time he has apparently
merited the approbation
of his Superior Officers,
~~he to be~~ will be restored ~~to the~~
~~effect of~~ at once. If
they trust that this will serve as
~~with~~ a severe warning
that he should be
very careful in future.

Govt to Consider the above
punishment sufficient,
and direct that Ch. Pille be

4. Work Inspector
Rangama must be
~~Secretary~~
dismissed the Service

and

Sd/d.
The Diwani's Order is silent
as regards the Work Inspector.
The 4th Lama has been ~~extracted~~ extracted
from the note of the 111th Cr.
by 16.5.02.

and his case does not
call for more
severe punishment
since he is undergoing
imprisonment in
the central Jail having
been convicted and
sentenced under for
an offences under
Sections 218 & 409 the
Indian Penal Code.

H
7/9/17

Secretary
Dy 19/9/17

To
The Dy Comr and Pres. M.
C. the D. F. Bd Tumkur Distt,
re turning the records.
The Dy Comr, Shimoga Distt.
The Comptr, Govt of. Imprest

to appoint him as the Amildar and the IIIrd Cl. Magistrate of the taluk

8. 808/685 dt 3/3/02 From the Dy Comm Shimoga &c. Requests early orders on the above and further to be notified in the Gazette as the Amildar and the IIIrd class Magistrate of the Taluk.

9. 9.00916 dt 29/4/02, From the Presidt Dist Fund Board Tumkur &c. Submits the entire proceedings in regard to the conduct of the Amildar in question with reference to the repairs to the drinking water well at Gowdanahalli of the Chickkanaikanahalli taluk.

10. 182994/2 L.F 27-01 dt 7.3.02. To the Registrar of the Chief court of Mysore. Requests the records in sessions case no 26 of 01/2 on the file of the Chief court to be sent for reference

11. 8071/231 dt 9/5/02. From the Registrar of the Chief court Mysore, forwards the entire records in Tumkur sessions case no 26 of 01/2 on the file of the Chief court together with the committing magistrate's proceedings.

12. 1160-2/L.F 27-02 dt 9/7/02, to the Dy Comm and the Presidt of the Dist Fund Board, Tumkur dist and the D.C. Shimoga and the Comptroller.

13. 1151/L.F 27-01 dt 21/7/02 To the Registrar of the Chief Court Returns the entire records stated in Cl 8071/231 (Cl 4)